Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/780,443	FUJIWARA, YASUHISA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>CUONG H. NGUYEN</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Mario Costantino (Reg. # 333565).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 February 2005	Time: <u>11:20 am</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a			
Claims discussed: n/a			
Prior art documents discussed: n/a			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The attorney said that this case was abandon.			
Part III.			
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
·			
	,		
Cuonghingugan (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appi	ropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)